

BladeFlask[®]EVO

100 | Scalpel Blade Removal System

The world's first
single-handed
scalpel blade
remover has
evolved.



www.clicksmart.com

 **CLICKSMART[®]**
... and be safe

An update of the world standard in single-handed scalpel blade removers.

Recent studies have shown that scalpel cuts are 6 times more likely to occur than needlestick injuries¹. Regrettably, many users and clinical administrators have underestimated the likelihood of these injuries². These cuts can happen to anyone, including you, no matter how experienced you are in handling scalpels, and can result in infections, blood-borne diseases and psychological distress. Even worse, serious injuries could require microsurgery to repair digital arteries, nerves and/or tendons.

The **Qlicksmart BladeFlask EVO** can accommodate even more types of scalpel handles and blades including large autopsy scalpels, thick and round handles, even hexagonal shaped versions - giving you greater freedom in using any scalpel you want. It is so simple to use with just one hand. Embrace safety with the new standard in scalpel blade removals.



Qlicksmart blade removers have safely removed over 70 million contaminated scalpel blades, preventing up to 150,000 injuries around the world.



It's simple to use with a quick "place, push, click, and pull" action. This easy-to-use solution also provides a lower cost alternative to safety scalpels or single-use disposable scalpels.



With little to no training required, Qlicksmart's single handed blade removers are proven to reliably reduce the risk of scalpel blade injuries.

BladeFlask[®] EVO
100 | Scalpel Blade Removal System



QLICKSMART[®]
...and be safe

Specifications

Colour Versions	Red - USA Yellow - International
Product Code	Red - QBVRGEN Yellow - QBVYGEN
GMDN Code	46235
Blade Capacity	100 Blades
Compatible Blades & Handles	All commercially available BP scalpel blades including (but not limited to) up to size PM 60A, PM60B, PM70. All commercially available scalpel handles including (but not limited to) PM6, PM8.
Size (per unit)	9cm x 13.4cm x 11.3cm
Weight (per unit)	250g
Accessories	Mounting Bracket - holds the BladeFlask EVO in place to allow single-handed blade removal.

Material	Body: Acrylonitrile Butadiene Styrene (ABS) Mechanism: ABS + Stainless Steel
Reusable	Multi-use: Each unit removes 100 scalpel blades before shut off
Disposal Method	Meets AS 4031:1992 standard (Non-reusable containers for the collection of sharp medical items used in health care areas). It is certified with UN Transport requirements for dangerous goods. The infectious substance logo on the front label and the correct sharps container color of the unit allow it to be disposed of as medical waste. Please follow your establishment's procedures for the disposal of sharps medical waste.
Regulatory Information	Australia - Class I USA - FDA Class I Europe - Class I medical device under Council Directive

How to Use



MOUNT TO WALL OR TROLLEY:

- If you haven't mounted your BladeFlask EVO before, prepare the universal mounting bracket*.
 - A** BRACKET HAS DOUBLE SIDED TAPE ON BOTTOM
 - B** OR USE SCREWS.
* See fitting template and instructions inside the bracket packaging.
- BladeFlask EVO can be mounted on a wall or on a trolley or bench.
 - SLIDE DOWN INTO BRACKET
 - SLIDE BACK INTO BRACKET

NOTE: BladeFlask EVO is to be mounted on the Mounting Bracket during use.

REMOVE BLADE SINGLE-HANDED ACTION:

- Place blade on the landing pad with the sharp edge facing to the right (or 'tang' up).
- Push up the scalpel handle into BladeFlask EVO along the ramp until you hear a click.
- Remove the handle.

NOTE: BladeFlask EVO is disposed of as medical waste after the counter automatically shuts off when it reaches full capacity.

FULL

BF201120A2-US

1. A. Wong, H. Nguyen, R. Eley, M. Sinnott, "Purchase data: a proxy for safety status," *Journal of Hospital Infection*, Volume 105, Issue 4, 2020, Pages 657-658, ISSN 0195-6701, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2020.05.004>.
2. Kerr HL, Stewart N, Pace A, Elsayed S. (2009). "Sharps injury reporting amongst surgeons." *Ann R Coll Surg Engl*. 91(5):430-432.